

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/634,440	08/05/2003		Richard Novak	108430.025D	8291	
26316	7590	11/02/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
COZEN AN 1900 MARK			ARANCIBIA, MAUR	ARANCIBIA, MAUREEN GRAMAGLIA		
PHILADEL				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				1763		

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			e. 0 .					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	(B					
Advisory Action	10/634,440	NOVAK ET AL.						
rianically rianan	Examiner	Art Unit						
·	Maureen G. Arancibia	1763						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	Iress					
THE REPLY FILED 24 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	y to a ation in					
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	a date of the final rejecti	on.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The app originally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action: or					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:							
(a) They raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below):						
•	(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		parate, timely filed	amendment					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NO	T place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	will be entered a	and an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:			* .					
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3-8</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 2.								
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appr	roved or h) disapproved by th	a Evaminor						

10. Other: See Continuation Sheet

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument in regards to the rejection of Claim 1 over the Tanakata et al. reference is not convincing. The phrase "increased flow velocity" recited in Claim 1 does not require a specific velocity. The Tanakata et al. reference teaches a pump (23), which would cause the ozone/water mix to exit sparger plate (14) at a non-zero velocity. This teaching meets the limitation of Claim 1 as recited.

Continuation of 10. Other: No amendments to the claims were proposed by the Applicant's Reply..

MH Chamibia

P. Hasson 20 det primary Examiner AV 1763